



441 G St. N.W.
Washington, DC 20548

May 31, 2017

The Honorable John Thune
Chairman
The Honorable Bill Nelson
Ranking Member
Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation
United States Senate

The Honorable Bill Shuster
Chairman
The Honorable Peter DeFazio
Ranking Member
Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure
House of Representatives

Passengers with Disabilities: Air Carriers' Disability-Training Programs and the Department of Transportation's Oversight

According to the most recent census, 57 million Americans (roughly 1 in 5) have a disability, more than half of whom have mobility issues.¹ Without effective accommodations—such as effective communication of flight information, accessible seats, appropriate boarding assistance, and careful handling and stowage of wheelchairs and other assistive devices—people with disabilities may face challenges when flying on a commercial air carrier.² The original Air Carrier Access Act (ACAA), which was enacted in 1986, prohibited only U.S. air carriers from discriminating against individuals on the basis of disability in the provision of air transportation.³ In 1990, the Department of Transportation (DOT) promulgated the ACAA's implementing regulations.⁴ Among other things, these regulations require air carriers to provide disability training to their employees and contractor staff who deal with the traveling public. Additionally, the regulations require air carriers to respond to written disability-related complaints and to report annually to DOT all such complaints received during the prior calendar year. On April 5, 2000, the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment Act for the 21st Century amended the ACAA specifically to include foreign carriers.⁵ The regulations have been amended a number of times.

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, Americans with Disabilities, 2010.

² In title 49 of the U.S. Code, "air carrier" refers to U.S. carriers only. 49 U.S.C. § 40102(a)(2). For purposes of this report, when we use the term "air carrier," we are referring to both U.S. and foreign air carriers, unless we specifically state otherwise.

³ Pub. L. No. 99-453, 100 Stat. 1080 (Oct. 2, 1986); codified as amended at 49 U.S.C. § 41705. Air carriers are prohibited from discriminating against disabled individuals on the following grounds: (1) the individual has a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities; (2) the individual has a record of such an impairment; and/or (3) the individual is regarded as having such impairment. 49 U.S.C. § 41705(a).

⁴ 14 C.F.R. § 382.

⁵ Pub. L. No. 106-181, § 707, 114 Stat. 61, 158 (Apr. 5, 2000).

In 2008, DOT amended the regulations to apply them to foreign air carriers for flights beginning or ending at a U.S. airport and aircraft used for these flights.⁶

Some disability rights advocates and other stakeholders have raised questions about air carriers' compliance with the ACAA and its implementing regulations. In 2015, U.S. and foreign air carriers received more than 30,000 disability-related complaints, which they reported to DOT's Office of Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings, which is responsible for oversight and enforcement of air carriers' compliance. To conduct this oversight, DOT receives and investigates complaints directly from passengers; reviews the annual complaint reports from air carriers; conducts onsite inspections of air carriers' headquarters and air carrier operations at airports; and takes enforcement actions against air carriers when necessary (e.g., imposing fines and seeking corrective actions).

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Extension, Safety, and Security Act of 2016 included a provision that we submit a report to Congress assessing required disability-training programs for air carriers' and contractors' personnel.⁷ This report discusses:

- how selected air carriers' disability-training programs vary, if at all, in addressing federal requirements, and
- how DOT oversees air carriers' compliance with the ACAA and what enforcement actions DOT has taken, including corrective actions sought, with regard to air carriers' training programs, since 2005.

On March 27 and March 30, 2017 we provided your offices with a briefing on the results of our review. This report formally transmits the briefing slides (see encl. I). Your office concurred that the briefing and the report meet Section 2107's requirements for the Comptroller General to submit a report within 270 days of the enactment of this Act.⁸

To describe the variation in U.S and foreign air carriers' disability-training programs, we examined the training programs of 12 air carriers that accounted for nearly 75 percent of passenger enplanements in 2015.⁹ We included air carriers that represent a variety of types of carriers (four large network, two regional, two foreign, and four low cost) and that had the most passenger enplanements per category in 2015. We requested that air carriers provide us with sufficient documentation outlining their disability-training programs.¹⁰ We reviewed these materials to identify similarities and differences in how carriers sought to address disability-training requirements, such as training of employees and contractors who deal with the traveling

⁶ 73 *Fed. Reg.* 27,614 (May 13, 2008) (amending 14 C.F.R. part 382).

⁷ Pub. L. No. 114-190, § 2107 130 Stat. 615, 622 (July 15, 2016).

⁸ We were required to report by April 11, 2017.

⁹ The following 12 air carriers were selected: Alaska Airlines, American Airlines, British Airways, Delta Airlines, Frontier Airlines, ExpressJet, JetBlue Airlines, Lufthansa Airways, SkyWest Airlines, Southwest Airlines, Spirit Airlines, and United Airlines. Passenger enplanements refer to the number of passengers who boarded a commercial carrier flight that departed from or arrived in the United States.

¹⁰ We did not visit each air carrier nor did we review all training materials but instead we asked each air carrier to submit outlines or summaries that described its disability-training regime. In some instances air carriers provided full manuals and some provided a course syllabus. We also did not assess air carriers' compliance with their respective training programs (e.g., we did not assess whether covered personnel in fact took the training or did so with the required frequency).

public regarding the awareness of different disabilities, training of complaint resolution officers (CRO),¹¹ and consultation with a disability organization in the development of their disability-training programs. We also interviewed representatives of the 12 air carriers to discuss the content and format of their disability-training programs. We met with a representative from two companies that provide wheelchair assistance and other services to air carriers and with employees of these companies in Seattle and Washington, D.C., to discuss the scope of training provided to wheelchair assistants.¹² We also conducted a literature review and reviewed air carrier websites to identify any services related to passengers with disabilities, such as special service requests or other accommodations that persons with disabilities may request. Finally, we met with five disability advocacy groups to gain their perspectives on air carrier disability-training programs.¹³ We judgmentally selected these groups based on recommendations from stakeholder groups and our literature review.

To describe how DOT oversees and enforces air carrier compliance with the ACAA and DOT's implementing regulations, we examined its oversight efforts from 2005 through 2017, including reviewing passenger complaint data submitted directly to DOT and directly to air carriers from 2005 through 2015, and analyzing trends and patterns in that complaint data.¹⁴ As required by law, air carriers annually submit to DOT a report summarizing the disability-related complaints received during the prior calendar year.¹⁵ We determined that the air carrier data were sufficiently reliable by reviewing related documentation and performing electronic testing of obvious errors in accuracy and completeness and that DOT data were sufficiently reliable by interviewing knowledgeable DOT officials and performing electronic testing of obvious errors in accuracy and completeness. In addition, we reviewed all 51 disability-related enforcement cases since 2005, of which we identified 13 as involving training-related corrective actions. We then reviewed these 13 enforcement cases to determine the origin of the enforcement action, the violation DOT found, and any airline-initiated or DOT-required training-related corrective actions. We also reviewed the process by which DOT verifies that air carriers implement the required corrective actions. In addition to analyzing complaint data and enforcement actions, we reviewed the process by which DOT conducted on-site and airport inspections of air carriers, their frequency, and the results of those inspections. Additionally, we interviewed DOT officials regarding their role in: (1) ensuring compliance with and enforcing the ACAA and its implementing regulations, (2) the analysis of complaint data to detect potential violations, and (3) the selection process for air carrier audits. We interviewed officials from FAA about their role at airports in regard to passengers with disabilities and officials from the Transportation Security Administration about training they provide to their screening employees related to passengers

¹¹ U.S. air carriers (and foreign air carriers for airports serving flights beginning or ending in the U.S.) must make a CRO available at each airport, either in person or via telephone. If a customer alleges that an air carrier has violated the ACAA or its implementing regulations and requests access to a CRO, the CRO determines whether a violation has occurred. CROs must have the power to overrule the decision of any other personnel, except for safety based decisions made by the pilot-in-command of an aircraft. 14 C.F.R. §§ 382.151, 382.153.

¹² We selected these locations because of their proximity to GAO staff offices conducting this review.

¹³ These groups included the Disability Rights and Defense Fund, Food Allergy Research and Education, National Association for the Deaf, Paralyzed Veterans of America, and the National Council on Disability.

¹⁴ At the time of our review, the 2015 data were the most recently available. In general, passengers can submit written disability-related complaints directly to air carriers, and air carriers must respond to each complaint. Additionally or alternatively, passengers can submit formal or informal disability-related complaints directly to DOT, and DOT must investigate each one.

¹⁵ 14 C.F.R. § 382.157(d).

with disabilities. We also conducted a literature review of ACAA-related studies to identify existing or potential challenges passengers with disabilities may encounter when traveling by air. Finally, we gathered views from the five selected disability groups concerning ACAA compliance and enforcement issues.

We conducted this performance audit from October 2016 to May 2017 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

In summary:

- We found that all 12 selected air carriers have training programs that address disability-related training requirements, with some variations in the specific content and format. Each air carrier demonstrated that it has in place initial and recurrent training for its employees, including its contractors who interface with passengers with disabilities (see slides 15 and 16).¹⁶ All 12 air carriers also demonstrated that they have annual CRO training and recurrent training programs, both of which are ACAA requirements.¹⁷ Typically, an initial CRO training includes an 8-hour class with situational scenarios and hands-on training, such as wheelchair handling and lifting passengers into aisle seats to assist in boarding. Our review revealed a few variations among air carriers' disability-training programs and related efforts. For example, we found that some air carriers voluntarily implemented quality assurance programs to improve and sustain their disability-training programs' performance. Another step some carriers have taken, though not required by the ACAA or its implementing regulations, is the creation of a disability board, which serves as a forum for increasing awareness among the workforce about disability issues.
- We found that DOT uses a variety of oversight methods to ensure ACAA compliance by air carriers, including analyzing passengers' complaint data, conducting compliance inspections, and pursuing additional enforcement actions. We also found that since 2008, DOT has pursued more avenues for oversight, for example by adding visits to foreign air carriers' headquarters and conducting airport inspections.
 - DOT analyzes passenger complaint data for trends and patterns and for potentially egregious violations. For example, in 2015, of the total disability-related complaints submitted directly to DOT and to air carriers, the two largest complaint categories were "failure to provide assistance" for a wheelchair and "failure to provide other disability assistance" (see slide 21).¹⁸

¹⁶ Air carriers operating aircraft with 19 or more passenger seats must provide training for all personnel who deal with the traveling public, as appropriate to the duties of each employee. Additionally, air carriers must provide, or ensure that their contractors provide, training to the contractors' employees who deal directly with the traveling public, as appropriate to the duties of each employee. 14 C.F.R. § 382.141.

¹⁷ 14 C.F.R. § 382.143. Air carriers that operate aircraft with 19 or more passengers must provide training concerning the requirements of 14 C.F.R. § 382, and the duties of a CRO to all employees designated as CROs. 14 C.F.R. § 382.141(a)(7).

¹⁸ While most air carriers tend to contract with other providers for wheelchair assistance or attendants, air carriers are ultimately responsible for providing or ensuring that the contractors provide the required training. 14 C.F.R. § 382.141(a)(6).

- DOT also conducts compliance reviews at air carrier headquarters for air-traveler consumer issues, including disability-related issues. DOT compliance inspection data show that due to these reviews, DOT has pursued a number of disability-related enforcement actions. Since 2008, DOT has conducted 38 reviews at air carrier headquarters, and 24 reviews found possible consumer violations, including 12 ACAA violations. Eleven of the 12 air carriers we selected have been reviewed since 2011, and 8 have been reviewed multiple times since 2008.
- In 2015, DOT initiated consumer-related compliance reviews of air carriers, including ACAA compliance, at small, medium, and large hub airports. Since DOT started conducting inspections of air carriers at airports, DOT has conducted 108 reviews at 33 airports, which have resulted in DOT issuing 18 warning letters and the opening of 5 disability-related enforcement actions.


DOT's enforcement cases generally result in consent orders, a type of settlement in which DOT may require air carriers to pay civil penalties or complete specified corrective actions in order to avoid future litigation. Since 2005, disability-related enforcement cases accounted for about 12 percent of DOT's consumer-related enforcement cases (51 of 433 total cases). Of these 51 cases, we determined 13 involved training-related corrective actions (see slide 24), all of which resulted in consent orders. Many of these consent orders required air carriers to pay civil penalties; however, some stipulated that the air carrier had to pay only part of the penalty initially, and the air carrier would have to pay the remaining penalty amount only if it violates the order within a specified period of time. Other consent orders stipulated that the air carrier had to pay only part of the penalty and must apply the rest of the penalty amount toward the implementation of actions that go above and beyond compliance with the rule to directly benefit consumers (slide 25). Additionally, we found that, in some enforcement cases, the air carrier initiated corrective actions on its own, without DOT's requiring those actions in the consent order. In total, for these 13 cases, air carriers were assessed \$1,675,000 in civil penalties and paid \$887,500 of that amount to the U.S. Treasury. The balance, \$787,500, was either not paid at all because air carriers complied with their consent orders, or was used by air carriers toward corrective actions. Some corrective actions from these 13 cases included additional disability-training expenditures to retrain employees, compensation to affected customers, and implementation of wheelchair tracking systems. To ensure required corrective actions are implemented, DOT monitors and verifies these consent orders, in part by using its data-tracking system. As of April 2017, 10 of the 13 enforcement cases were closed. For the 10 closed cases, we confirmed that all fines were paid and that the air carrier completed the required corrective actions.

Agency Comments

We provided a draft of this report to DOT for review and comment. DOT provided technical comments, which we incorporated as appropriate.

We are sending copies of this report to the Secretary of Transportation. In addition, the report is available at no charge on the GAO website at <http://www.gao.gov>.

Should you or your staff have questions concerning this report, please contact me at (202) 512-2834 or dillingham@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. Key contributors to this report were Paul Aussendorf (Assistant Director), Nick Nadarski (Analyst-in-Charge), Lacey Coppage, Kevin Egan, Delwen Jones, Sara Ann Moessbauer, and Joshua Ormond.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Gerald Dillingham". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Gerald L. Dillingham, Ph.D.
Director
Physical Infrastructure Issues

Enclosures – 1

(101150)

Passengers with Disabilities: Air Carrier Disability-Training Programs and Department of Transportation Oversight

**Mandated by Section 2107, FAA Extension, Safety and Security Act
of 2016 (Pub. L. No. 114-190)**

**Briefings to Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and
Transportation and House Committee on Transportation and
Infrastructure**

Contents

- Introduction
- Objectives
- Scope and Methodology
- Background
- Objective 1
- Objective 2

Introduction

- According to the most recent census (2010), 57 million Americans have a disability (roughly 1 in 5), more than half of whom have mobility issues.
- The 1986 Air Carrier Access Act (ACAA) prohibits air carriers from discriminating against qualified individuals on the basis of disability.
- Department of Transportation (DOT) regulations carry out the Air Carrier Access Act by providing various obligations for air carriers (14 C.F.R. § 382).
- In 2000, the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century amended the ACAA to include foreign air carriers.
- In 2008, DOT issued a rule applying the ACAA regulations to foreign air carriers operating flights to or from the United States.
- DOT is responsible for ensuring air carriers' compliance through various enforcement actions.

Objectives

- FAA Extension, Safety, and Security Act of 2016 (Section 2107) requires GAO to submit to Congress a report assessing air carrier personnel and contractor training programs for the assistance of persons with disabilities.
- This briefing examines:
 - (1) how selected air carriers' disability training programs vary, if at all, in addressing federal requirements.
 - (2) how DOT oversees ACAA compliance by air carriers and what enforcement actions DOT has taken, including corrective actions sought, with regard to air carriers' disability training programs since 2005.

Scope and Methodology


- To describe the extent of ACAA training program variation, we examined 12 air carriers (selected based on the greatest number of enplanements in 2015 (most recent year available) by air carrier type—4 network, 2 regional, 2 foreign, and 4 low cost).
- To describe how DOT oversees and enforces ACAA compliance by air carriers, we examined:
 - DOT oversight efforts, including complaints, audits, and verification of corrective actions taken by air carriers.
 - The 51 disability-related enforcement cases since 2005, 13 of which involved training-related corrective actions.

Background – Passenger with Disabilities Air Travel Experience

- Some key airport stakeholders help to ensure that airport facilities and services are accessible to passengers with disabilities (see fig. 1).
 - Airline assistance
 - For passengers in wheelchairs, assistance can be contracted by the air carriers.
 - Loading and stowing assistive devices is the responsibility of baggage handlers who can be airline employees or contract employees.
 - Transportation Security Administration (TSA) security screening
 - TSA has its own complaint process and training program for TSA screeners.
 - Airport services and facilities must meet requirements under the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.
 - FAA conducts on-site compliance reviews of airports and investigates complaints against airports.

Background

Figure 1: Passenger with Disabilities Air Travel Experience



Source: GAO. | GAO-17-541R

Background - DOT Regulatory Authority and Air Carrier Role

- Air Carrier Access Act (ACAA) and implementing regulations
 - 49 U.S.C. § 41705
 - 14 C.F.R. part 382
- Air carriers' role
 - Provide ACAA training to employees, as well as to contractors who deal with the traveling public.
 - Receive complaints directly from customers, respond to complaints, and annually report complaint data to DOT.

Background - DOT's Role

- Key activities conducted by DOT's Office of Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings:
 - Receive and investigate complaints directly from consumers
 - Identify trends and patterns in air carrier and DOT complaint data
 - Conduct on-site audits of airlines at their headquarters
 - Conduct airport inspections of airlines
 - Communicate informally with air carriers and attend stakeholder forums

Background – Annual Disability Complaint and Enplanement Data Since 2005

Year	Disability Complaints Submitted by Consumers to DOT	Disability Complaints Submitted to U.S. and Foreign Air Carriers by Consumers	Total Enplanements
2005	511	13,584	745,579,572
2006	433	13,766	749,779,084
2007	488	15,290	774,408,791
2008	477	14,006	746,703,610
2009	518	17,068	707,500,457
2010	572	21,001	722,736,206
2011	628	21,372	735,341,289
2012	741	23,443	740,323,597
2013	683	25,246	747,238,182
2014	784	27,556	768,113,579
2015	944	30,829	805,673,910



Background – Annual Air Carrier Disability Complaints by Category - 2015


Complaint Category	Disability Complaints Submitted to DOT by Consumers 2015 Total	% of Disability Complaints Submitted to DOT by Consumers of 2015 Total	Disability Complaints Submitted to Air Carriers by Consumers 2015 Total	% of Disability Complaints Submitted to Air Carriers by Consumers of 2015 Total
Refusal To Board Passenger	57	6%	468	2%
Refusal to Board w/o Attendant	0	0%	21	0%
Security Issues Regarding Disability	0	0%	46	0%
Aircraft Not Accessible	4	0%	91	0%
Airport Not Accessible	4	0%	98	0%
Advance Notice Dispute	0	0%	142	0%
Seating Accommodation	103	11%	3,026	10%
Failure to Provide Assistance	463	49%	17,828	58%
Damage to Assistive Device	28	3%	1,541	5%
Storage and Delay of Assistive Device	95	10%	1,570	5%
Service Animal Problem	100	11%	1,638	5%
Unsatisfactory Info	28	3%	520	2%
Other	62	7%	3,840	12%
Total	944		30,829	

Background – DOT Oversight

- According to DOT representatives, potential violations of the ACAA warrant a further review to determine if corrective actions are necessary. Possible outcomes include (see fig. 2):
 - No violation
 - Minimal violation, which may:
 - include technical violations; violations that occurred for a short period of time; violations that are not supported by sufficient evidence; or no violation of a regulation. However, DOT finds one of the air carrier's policies concerning the ACAA is lacking and can be followed with a warning letter to the air carrier.
 - Serious violations might result in an enforcement action.

Background

Figure 2: DOT Complaint Process



Source: DOT. | GAO-17-541R

Background - DOT Communication and Education Efforts with Air Carriers and Other Stakeholders

- DOT informally communicates with air carriers.
- DOT participates in training forums and issues instructional materials to carriers and stakeholders.
 - Leads disability forums
 - Led recent advisory committee
 - Disability-related training and information materials:
 - Wheelchair and guide assistance;
 - Stowage, loss, delay, and damage of wheelchairs and other mobility assistive devices;
 - Aircraft seating accommodations; and
 - Travel with service animals.

Objective 1: All Selected Air Carrier Disability Training Programs Generally Address Requirements

- Based on our interviews with air carrier representatives and our review of available training documentation, we found that all of their ACAA training programs generally addressed the following regulatory requirements:
 - Training of employees who deal with the traveling public regarding the awareness of different disabilities and the ability to communicate with passengers with disabilities.
 - Recurrent training for all personnel.
 - Training for Complaint Resolution Officers (CRO)
 - CROs resolve complaints on behalf of air carriers and can overrule decisions of other air carrier personnel, except safety decisions made by aircraft pilots.
 - Consultation with disability organizations in development of ACAA training programs.

Objective 1: All Selected Air Carrier Disability Training Programs Generally Address Requirements

- Training of personnel who deal with the travelling public:
 - All 12 selected air carriers use a mix of classroom based training and computer based training for specific workgroups.
 - Inflight, gate agent, ramp/baggage employees.
 - All air carriers provided us with information ranging from training summaries to full manuals.
 - According to the two regional carriers we selected, they rely on mainline partner training.
- Training for air carriers' contractors
 - Air carriers seek to ensure compliance of their contractors (i.e., wheelchair attendants) by:
 - Providing ACAA training to their contractors.
 - Including ACAA training requirements in their contracts.
 - Providing performance payments based on quality of service.

Objective 1: Air Carriers' Disability Training Programs Generally Address Requirements

- All 12 air carriers require annual CRO training:
 - Typically, an initial CRO training includes an 8-hour class with situational scenarios and hands-on training such as wheelchair handling and lifting passengers into aisle seats to assist in boarding.
 - 12 air carriers conduct annual recurrent training.

Objective 1: Other Variations Found in Air Carriers' ACAA Training Programs

- Other variations we identified that are not required by the regulations:
 - 6 of the 12 air carriers have a disability board or something similar which serves as a forum for increasing awareness.
 - Some air carriers said they have quality assurance programs to improve and sustain training programs' performance.

Objective 2: DOT Uses Various Oversight Methods to Ensure Compliance by Air Carriers

- Since 2005, DOT has settled or dismissed 433 enforcement actions with air carriers regarding various consumer related issues; 51 of these were disability-related violations.
- DOT enforcement can include warning letters or consent orders requiring corrective action by air carriers.
- DOT uses a tracking process to verify that airlines complete corrective actions.

Objective 2: DOT Oversight of ACAA - Compliance Methods

- Analyzes passenger complaint data for trends and patterns, and for potential egregious violations.
- Conducts compliance reviews at air carriers' headquarters for various consumer issues, including ACAA compliance.
- Conducts inspections of air carriers at airports for similar consumer issues.

Objective 2: DOT Oversight of ACAA Compliance - Passenger Complaint Data

- Passengers may file disability-related complaints with both DOT and/or air carriers.
 - Complaints filed with the DOT:
 - According to DOT, officials investigate each complaint and review air carrier submitted data and recent data trends.
 - In 2015, 944 total disability-related complaints were submitted directly to DOT, the most common of which (35%) was “Failure to Provide Wheelchair Assistance”.
 - Complaints filed with the air carriers:
 - Air carriers must respond to each complaint within 30 days.
 - Air carriers must annually report disability-related complaints to DOT.
 - In 2015, air carriers received 30,829 disability-related complaints, nearly half of which were “Failure to Provide Wheelchair Assistance” complaints.

Objective 2: DOT Oversight of ACAA Compliance - Air Carrier Reviews

- DOT selection factors for consumer-related compliance reviews include the air carrier's total number of annual passengers, complaints, length of time since last inspection, and the air carrier's enforcement history.
- Prior to 2008, DOT conducted targeted inspections that were focused on a carrier's specific potential issue or problem
- Since 2008 – 38 audits completed:
 - 24 audits (63%) found possible consumer violations, including 12 ACAA violations, and were settled or are currently being settled via consent orders.
 - 11 of the 12 air carriers we selected have been reviewed since 2011; 8 have been reviewed multiple times since 2008.
- DOT periodically reviews carriers' CRO training by attending training sessions.

Objective 2: DOT Oversight of ACAA Compliance – Inspections at Airports

- According to DOT, it began consumer-related compliance inspections of air carriers at small, medium, and large hub airports in 2015.
- DOT has taken a number of disability-related enforcement actions as a result of its airport inspections.
 - In 2016, 61 inspections at 16 airports (including 10 of the 12 GAO selected air carriers).
 - In 2015, 47 inspections at 17 airports (including 11 of the 12 GAO selected air carriers).
 - As a result of the 2015 inspections, DOT generated 5 enforcement cases and issued warning letters to 18 air carriers that included ACAA issues.
- DOT follows up on warning letters as part of its planning for future inspections.

Objective 2: DOT Oversight of ACAA Compliance - Nature and Scope of DOT Enforcement

- ACAA enforcement cases constitute a relatively small percentage (about 12%) of DOT consumer-related enforcement cases since 2005:
 - 51 of the 433 consumer-related enforcement cases were disability-related cases involving air carriers.
 - These cases resulted in over \$9.54 million in total fines, which included offsets for air carriers such as investments in improved training, compensation to travelers, and technology improvements.
 - According to DOT, all cases were dismissed or settled with consent orders - an agreement or settlement to resolve a dispute between two parties without admission of guilt.
 - We identified 13 of the 51 disability-related cases as involving training-related corrective actions.
 - These 13 cases resulted in \$1,675,000 in civil penalties.

Objective 2: DOT Oversight of ACAA Compliance - Nature and Scope of DOT Enforcement

- In the 13 selected disability-related enforcement cases, air carriers paid \$887,500 in civil penalties to the U.S. Treasury. The remaining \$787,500 was either not paid because the airline met the requirements of the consent order, or was applied by the airline toward corrective actions, such as:
 - funding salaries and additional ACAA training expenditures to retrain employees;
 - providing compensation to affected customers;
 - implementing wheelchair tracking systems;
 - establishing disability councils to help improve their ACAA training programs; and
 - creating websites and hotlines for passengers with disabilities to obtain information and submit complaints.

Objective 2: DOT Oversight of ACAA Compliance - Verification of Actions

- DOT monitors and verifies corrective actions required by enforcement orders by using its data tracking system.
 - 10 of our 13 selected enforcement cases have been closed.
 - 3 remain open because the time period for corrective action has not expired; however as of April 2017, each air carrier has complied with its consent order.
- During our review, we confirmed that all fines were paid, and that DOT verified that the air carrier completed the required corrective actions.

This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. The published product may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from GAO. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.

GAO's Mission

The Government Accountability Office, the audit, evaluation, and investigative arm of Congress, exists to support Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and to help improve the performance and accountability of the federal government for the American people. GAO examines the use of public funds; evaluates federal programs and policies; and provides analyses, recommendations, and other assistance to help Congress make informed oversight, policy, and funding decisions. GAO's commitment to good government is reflected in its core values of accountability, integrity, and reliability.

Obtaining Copies of GAO Reports and Testimony

The fastest and easiest way to obtain copies of GAO documents at no cost is through GAO's website (<http://www.gao.gov>). Each weekday afternoon, GAO posts on its website newly released reports, testimony, and correspondence. To have GAO e-mail you a list of newly posted products, go to <http://www.gao.gov> and select "E-mail Updates."

Order by Phone

The price of each GAO publication reflects GAO's actual cost of production and distribution and depends on the number of pages in the publication and whether the publication is printed in color or black and white. Pricing and ordering information is posted on GAO's website, <http://www.gao.gov/ordering.htm>.

Place orders by calling (202) 512-6000, toll free (866) 801-7077, or TDD (202) 512-2537.

Orders may be paid for using American Express, Discover Card, MasterCard, Visa, check, or money order. Call for additional information.

Connect with GAO

Connect with GAO on [Facebook](#), [Flickr](#), [Twitter](#), and [YouTube](#).
Subscribe to our [RSS Feeds](#) or [E-mail Updates](#). Listen to our [Podcasts](#).
Visit GAO on the web at www.gao.gov.

To Report Fraud, Waste, and Abuse in Federal Programs

Contact:

Website: <http://www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm>

E-mail: fraudnet@gao.gov

Automated answering system: (800) 424-5454 or (202) 512-7470

Congressional Relations

Katherine Siggerud, Managing Director, siggerudk@gao.gov, (202) 512-4400,
U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7125,
Washington, DC 20548

Public Affairs

Chuck Young, Managing Director, youngc1@gao.gov, (202) 512-4800
U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7149
Washington, DC 20548

Strategic Planning and External Liaison

James-Christian Blockwood, Managing Director, spel@gao.gov, (202) 512-4707
U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7814,
Washington, DC 20548



Please Print on Recycled Paper.